



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

|   |              |                      |                     |                  |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/791,051  | 03/02/2004   | Victor ChiSiang Choo | STL11375            | 2836             |
| 27365   | 7590         | 09/05/2008           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC C/O WESTMAN<br>CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.<br>SUITE 1400<br>900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3244 |              |                      | KIM, PAUL D         |                  |
| ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER |                      |                     |                  |
|   |              |                      | 3729                |                  |
| MAIL DATE   |              | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 09/05/2008  |              | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                |                         |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>         | <b>Applicant(s)</b>     |
|                          | 10/791,051                     | CHOO ET AL.             |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Paul D. Kim | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3729 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul D. Kim. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Deirdre Kvale. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendment (After Final Amendment) filed on 7/30/2008. Applicant argument appears to be overcome the prior art of record. However, examiner recommended to applicant to file a response formally for further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul D Kim/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729